

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair is clearly using the public airwaves, which it uses free of charge, to pursue a political agenda in exchange for favors I am sure. I believe Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest. I also believe that it is unethical, if not illegal, to provide free "political adds" to one political party and not the other. Sinclair's actions are dangerous to our democracy and should be curtailed, or they should lose their right to use our airwaves.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.